Altering Time and Space through Network Technologies to Enhance Learning

by John F. Chizmar and David B. Williams

Networking technologies offer a better learning environment for students while providing opportunities for reducing the cost of the learning process. A key outcome of advances in networking, the Internet, telecommunications, and client/server computing is that they are serving to alter the limitations of time and place. The authors discuss their experiences from the perspective of teaching in economics and the arts. They have created learning strategies that make use of these technologies for communication and access according to a matrix showing the interaction of time and place.

A paradigm shift is taking place in higher education. According to Barr and Tagg, the paradigm that has governed our colleges and universities is one that defined a college or university as “an institution that exists to provide instruction. Subtly but profoundly we are shifting to a new paradigm: a college is an institution that exists to produce learning.” We are beginning to recognize that our dominant paradigm mistakes a means for an end. It takes the means or method—called “instruction” or “teaching”—and makes it the college’s end or purpose … We now see that our mission is not instruction but rather that of producing learning with every student by whatever means work best.¹

In this article, we address the following questions: Will computing and networking technologies offer a better learning environment for students? Will these technologies improve our ability to help students produce learning while reducing the cost of instruction? We believe that the answer to these questions is a resounding “yes,” if computing and networking technologies are used to create learning strategies that involve students as active partners in their own learning.

In the search for active learning strategies, we are guided by two principles stated by Cobb:

- The student and teacher share responsibility for the quality of a process—the process of the student’s learning (only indirectly and secondarily the quality of the teacher’s teaching).
- The core motivation, for both student and
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teacher, should be the satisfaction that derives from improving the quality of the student's learning.2

Our goal as teachers in using computing and networking technologies is, to use a metaphor, to be a “guide on the side” instead of a “sage on the stage.” To return to Barr and Tagg’s terminology, our goal is to move from an instruction paradigm, in which a faculty member’s role is “actor” and knowledge is transferred from faculty to students, to a learning paradigm, in which a faculty member’s role is “inter-actor—a coach interacting with a team,” and students discover knowledge for themselves.3 We illustrate these contrasting paradigms in Figure 1.4

Enhancing the learning process through networking technologies

To understand how technologies can support and enhance the quality of student learning and increase active participation by students, in this article we share our experiences with implementing active learning strategies that use computing and networking. Chizmar (hereafter referred to as the economics instructor) teaches an undergraduate economics (statistics and econometrics) course in a networked computer classroom where each student works on a computer workstation connected to the campus network and the Internet. Williams (referred to as the fine arts instructor) teaches two seminars on developing and designing computer applications in the fine arts. The first course focuses on designing multimedia applications with PowerPoint, Authorware, and HyperCard; the second course focuses on designing electronic arts exhibits on the Internet using a Web server, HTML coding, and a variety of graphics and music creative software tools. These courses take place in a traditional conference room with a computer teaching station, an overhead display, and a connection to the campus network and the Internet. Fine arts students also use a portable computer-lab-on-wheels on occasion in the classroom—a number of laptop computers are available on a cart, with wireless infrared networking—and they have access to a networked computer lab for work outside of class.

Networking and Internet connectivity is critical to our teaching strategies. To create an Internet Web presence for both courses, we set up a Web server on Macintosh computers directly connected to the Internet through a 16 MB token ring. WebStar (originally MachHTTP) was used as the Web server and FTPd was used to create file transfer and Gopher services. A key advantage to this configuration is that folder or directory access is easily administered through AppleShare, and student folders can be accessed either through the local AppleTalk networks or through file transfer over the Internet. The server for music was upgraded to UNIX and an SGI Indy computer this past year. Listservs, Usenet newsgroups, and e-mail were created through the campus Internet servers for these operations. Both of us have available personnel for technical support to assist with setting up and maintaining the servers and accounts; however, we did much of this ourselves.

The network and server facilities that we have in place provide for our students, to use Larry Smarr’s phrase, a “window into knowledge space.”5 Smarr asserts that we are experiencing today the fruits of a major transition from a world of one person, one computer, to a world of the “meta-computer,” a computer of computers. In this new world, a personal computer becomes a “window into knowledge space” and a gateway to virtual resources. But meta-computing does more than provide a looking glass through which to see the world. We believe that meta-computing also creates a looking glass that reflects back to the learner an image of him- or herself working with other learners. Meta-computing enables learning by providing diverse modes of communication and access to a creative, virtual collaborative space for students.

Here is just a sample of some of the learning activities possible in our networked, meta-computing environment at Illinois State University:

- Private news groups for each class
- E-mail collaboration: student-to-student, student-to-instructor, and instructor-to-student
- Electronic submission and critique of work
- Electronic posting of grades, class handouts, notices, schedules, etc.
- Electronic exhibit areas for class projects
- Internet-wide critique of work
- Internet-based research projects.

In the remainder of this article, we present examples of how we implement these activities in our classes. We find it useful to view these activities in terms of a time-and-place matrix, a concept developed from writings on groupware.
“The advantage of the listserv in this context is that students know that their message is sent to the entire class.”

**Figure 2: Instructional activities possible in a networked learning environment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time and Place Matrix</th>
<th>SAME</th>
<th>DIFFERENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Time</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAME</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traditional classrooms and meeting places</td>
<td>Virtual classrooms, distance experts, and distance tutors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIFFERENT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer labs and online servers for teams</td>
<td>E-mail, news groups, Gopher and Web servers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Strategies and adapted to our work. A key outcome of the advances in computer networking and the Internet is that they are serving to alter the limitations of time and space. Each cell in the matrix (see Figure 2) demonstrates this by representing unique combinations of time and place events in fully networked learning environments. We start with same-time/same-place (representing the traditional classroom) and progress clockwise through different-time/different-place.

**Same-time/same-place: traditional meeting places**

Same-time, same-place describes the traditional classroom, rehearsal room, conference room, or computer lab. Our definition of “place” here includes both physical space (e.g., the classroom) as well as virtual classroom (e.g., a dedicated server holding students’ work and instructor’s materials much like a workroom or team room).

Most efforts at incorporating technology into instruction have been focused on this cell of the matrix. This cell includes any meta-computing learning strategy that improves the ways in which students traditionally learn within the confines of meeting for fifty minutes, three times per week (same time), in the same classroom (same place). Such strategies include collaborative or groupware applications and experiences, in-class demonstrations, practical experiences (especially with simulations), experiences with software applications, and in-class access to student and course online files. The economics course makes use of simulation and in-class electronic collaboration and feedback within the same-time/same-place cell of the time-place matrix.

The fine arts courses use the computer for demonstration, hands-on practice, and critique of student work within this cell.

**Economics**

The economics courses use statistical computing in a local area network setting to augment traditional face-to-face classroom instruction and to achieve the recommendations of the American Statistical Association (ASA) for the teaching of introductory statistics courses. These recommendations suggest that teachers should motivate students by showing them statistics at work in real applications, problems, cases, and projects; use real data and statistical computing; foster active learning; and explore “how useful ideas of statistical inference can be [learned] independently of technically correct probability.”

The ASA’s last recommendation presents a seeming conundrum. How can students gain an intuitive understanding of the concepts of probability by eschewing its formal study? Answer—through the use of computer simulation. Economics students learn the central idea of statistics through a series of Monte Carlo simulation experiments. From their computer workstations in class, each student generates twenty random samples of the same size from the same population and for each sample calculates the mean and median. They then send the instructor an e-mail message which contains their twenty means and medians. The instructor then combines each student’s response with the responses of the other students and then e-mails these class distributions of the means and medians back to the students for further analysis.

The economics courses use e-mail and a class listserv to augment an active learning strategy called “think-pair-share.” This activity takes place collaboratively during class time. To help students clarify their thinking, the instructor asks each student to write an e-mail message to the class listserv, explaining, in words their fellow students will understand, a particularly difficult concept, a p-value for example. Students then read what other students have written and discuss differences or similarities with their teammates. The advantage of the listserv in this context is that students know that their message is sent to the entire class. Version of “think-pair-share” is based on Meyers and Jones’ observation that “when we direct students to write to each other, they usually write with more clarity and precision.”

The economics courses also use network computing to provide frequent feedback on the quality of student learning through a technique
called the "Minute Paper." A typical Minute Paper asks students to respond, in the final minute or two of class, to two questions: (1) What is the most important thing you learned today, and (2) What is the muddiest point still remaining at the conclusion of today's class?

The first question is intended to focus students on the big picture, i.e., what is being learned, and the second to provide specific statements of what students want to know more about, i.e., how well it is being learned. At the conclusion of every class, students in the economics courses answer these questions plus a set of Likert-scale questions developed by Shulman using a Netscape form (see Figure 3). The form creates a tab-delimited text file of the students' responses, which can be easily analyzed in Excel and Minitab. Furthermore, because the form also asks students to provide their e-mail addresses, the instructor can respond immediately via e-mail to any student who seems particularly "muddy."

Before the next class, the economics instructor analyzes students' feedback and fashions an e-mail message back to the students that contains their verbatim responses to the Minute Paper from the previous class. Students find this practice informative because it tells them, in unabridged language, that other students are muddy about the same points as they. The instructor begins the subsequent class with a discussion of students' responses, in essence, with feedback on student feedback.

Fine Arts

The fine arts courses employ a constructionist strategy of teaching with a strong emphasis on learning projects. A key strategy for the use of class time is viewing and critiquing work in progress. The guidelines for economics study with emphasis on motivation, real-world problems and projects, and the downplay of formal training, apply here in the fine arts as well.

The instructor uses the teaching workstation and its connection to the network to quickly access student work from the department server, where class and private student folders permit electronic storing of assignments and work in progress. Students have an online folder for their work to which only they and the instructor have access. Having electronic access to all student projects makes it easy to quickly show and com-

Figure 3: A Netscape form for the “Minute Paper”

---

"The instructor begins the subsequent class with a discussion of students' responses, in essence, with feedback on student feedback."


11 The Netscape form is only the latest incarnation of the Minute Paper. Chizmar migrated from asking students to respond to the Minute Paper first using paper and pencil and then using e-mail. The primary advantage of using the form is that it substantially reduces the analysis time—from over one hour to less than 15 minutes.
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pare portions of students’ work and to isolate examples of problem areas in software and instructional development that need class attention. When hands-on training is needed for learning new software development tools, the portable lab-on-wheels can be rolled into the classroom.

**Same-time/different-place: lowering the walls of the classroom**

Same-time/different-place computing lets us expand beyond the classroom. Here is where we “lower the walls” and open the “window into knowledge space.” Using the power of network connectivity and advances in cable and the telephony, collaboration takes on an expanded meaning. Any group of people can be brought together for a meeting at the same “time” without regard to “place.” We can hold text-based conferences (online chat groups), audio conferences (phone conferencing), and full-audio and video conferencing right from our desktop (desktop video presentations). Tutoring can be offered remotely. Mentors or experts can be brought into the classroom from anywhere in the world. Many programs are now offering their courses and degree programs online, letting students complete some, if not all, of their work remotely.

**Fine Arts**

The fine arts instructor uses the teaching station’s connection to the Internet as a way to open the classroom to world-wide people resources. Active participation from the class on Usenet newsgroups provides input and assistance from people throughout the Internet for information, problem solving, and even critique of student work. The newsgroups provide informal distance experts. Prearranged e-mail (using Eudora) and chat conferences are scheduled to synchronize with class time so that distance experts can share their talents, contribute to a class discussion, or help the students solve particularly difficult problems or locate resources. Using Fetch, TurboGopher, or Netscape, a particularly rich resource of graphic images or music sound files can be queried and the files downloaded to the classroom for discussion and experimentation.

**Economics**

The economics instructor uses the student station’s connection to the Internet similarly. Students, working in teams, use Netscape to access laboratory experiments designed to actively engage them in the study of economics. One experiment, entitled “An Internet Journey” and adapted from an article written by Rossman, asks students to answer a series of conjectures about life expectancy and density of people per television set in various countries. Working in teams of two, they link to an online journal, retrieve a set of the data files across the Internet, and import them into the statistical software for further analysis.

**Future applications**

There are other same-time/different-place activities that we intend to implement in the future: desktop video conferencing to bring distance experts and demonstrations into class in real time, prescheduled online tutoring for students with the instructor or a graduate assistant through controlled use of Internet chat groups (IRCs), and even the possibility of permitting students to attend the class remotely through desktop video conferencing.

**Different-time/same-place: virtual shared space and computer labs**

This matrix cell includes any learning activity which gives the instructor and students physical or virtual use of a dedicated workspace, any time they choose—in essence, virtual team rooms. We each provide local servers of information dedicated to class use, both for personal storage of work and for shared storage. This cell also would include dedicated physical workspaces. Our students have access to networked computer labs which they can use for “different-time” computing activities.

We both use a series of Web pages to organize online course content, where materials dedicated to our courses are stored. Students can find electronic versions of handouts and course syllabi. The well-worn plea for another copy of a lost handout is now followed by the rejoinder of “just download a new copy from the class server.” Grades are posted electronically after each assignment for those students who give the instructor permission to post grades. We make a GIF graphic image of a class spreadsheet and post it in the class server space, where only the students in the class have access for downloading.

**Fine Arts**

The fine arts courses make extensive use of this cell and the virtual team room concept. Students construct off-line multimedia arts exhibits in the first semester course (Software Design in the Arts) and online multimedia exhibits in the second semester course (Internet Models for Artistic Expression). For these courses, students select a theme to develop for an exhibit which
will be used throughout the semester. They then begin to design and accumulate a variety of digital imagery for their exhibits in private folders on the class server: digital graphics, digital video clips, musical instrument digital interface (MIDI) files, digital sound samples, text documents, and so on. Learning how to prepare such imagery, suitable to the multimedia platform they are working with (e.g., slide-, icon-, card-, or document-based tools) and of high aesthetic design quality, is a key goal of the course. The students then combine these images with Powerpoint, Word, Authorware, and HyperCard for the first semester course; HTML and Web page design for the second semester course.14

The fine arts instructor provides each student with a private folder where only that student and the instructor can gain access to the materials. Special “drop folders” are created for submitting work electronically; files can be “dropped” in, but only the instructor can take them out. The instructor also creates online folders for each class project where students can share the results of their work with the class, and for the Internet Models course, with the Internet community at large. In fact, the instructor requires his students to announce their completed projects on the Internet newsgroups and invite the public to electronically critique and react to their work. (See Figure 4 for an example of student work.)

A local Usenet newsgroup is created just for the class; this serves as an electronic bulletin board for the students and the instructor. Posts for help, advice, announcements, helpful tips, and coordinating student teamwork all circulate through the class newsgroup over the semester. We believe that a listserv would provide a more controlled environment for a collective newsgroup over the Internet. Listservs are a good way to implement online critiques of work where several on-campus classes participate, or better still, where the same class on several different campuses shares work electronically.

Economics

The economics instructor has begun to experiment with out-of-class electronic team projects. While exams and projects assess student understanding equally well, projects (especially team projects) more than exams are themselves instruments of learning. Because students teach each other, team projects promote student learning and empower students to own their own learning. The benefits of team projects are substantially enhanced with the use of networking technologies. Networking technologies help to ameliorate a major complaint of student team members—that it is difficult to schedule a common time and place when everyone can meet to work on the project. Instead, telecommunication and networking technologies expand place and time by permitting students to collaborate in the same place, but at different times.

Different-time/different-place: access to the world of online information

The different-time/different-place matrix cell represents true “anywhere-anytime” computing. The freedom to participate at different times and different places lets the instructor and the students plan and control their participation and use of network resources to suit their own schedules and preferences. Through the World Wide Web and Gopher, data, software, a wide array of graphic images, sound and music files, and digital movies can be transported to the classroom for use and demonstration. There are millions of servers with full-text documents, abstracts, online library catalogs, MIDI music files, digital sound samples, graphic images and digital video clips, software, and statistical data. The list is endless. Any location on the Internet containing these resources can be accessed at any time.

Electronic mail, of course, is the most widely used different-time/different-place technology. With extensive use of e-mail for both students and faculty at Illinois State University, we have found that it has a great social-leveling or equalizing effect. Interaction with people anywhere in the world through only text frees the exchange from biases that can be caused by visual appear-

14 Examples of the work from the Internet Models course can be viewed through Netscape at http://www.orat.ilstu.edu/CAUSE/inetModels/exhibits95.html and http://www.orat.ilstu.edu/CAUSE/inetModels/exhibits96.html. The student Brazilian music example in Figure 4 is used by permission of Gerry Magallan, Arizona State University.

Figure 4: An example of a student arts exhibit on Brazilian music
“Student work is submitted electronically through the class drop folders, and all work is critiqued with feedback being returned electronically to the student through e-mail.”

Economics

We have already discussed the electronic adaptation of the Minute Paper. The economics instructor also uses computing to augment another continuous quality improvement (CQI) teaching strategy called the Quality Circle. A circle of six to twelve student volunteers meets weekly with the instructor to provide advice and feedback on course management issues. The instructor uses e-mail to facilitate the work of the Quality Circle—devising a fishbone diagram, constructing a class survey, composing recommendations to improve the course—and a listserv to involve the remaining members of the class in the work of the Quality Circle any time, any place.

Fine Arts

This cell in the time-place matrix is another important one for fine arts classes, since students must conduct an extensive amount of research in developing their theme and materials for their multimedia projects. One of the first projects in the InternetModels course is an Internet Treasure Hunt. The dual goals of the project are to acquaint the students with the basic Internet client tools for news, Gopher, ftp, and Web, and to get them started with researching their topic. The treasure hunt asks them to locate sites for graphic images, sound files, content information, information on copyright related to multimedia and the Internet, experts that can help them with their project, and grant and funding resources should they hypothetically need to seek financial support for the project.

The instructor also uses online newsgroups and e-mail extensively in his class. Students are encouraged to use e-mail to communicate and collaborate with each other, and with the instructor. Through a combination of e-mail and newsgroups, students begin to think in terms of the learning process and the course of study being a twenty-four-hour-a-day, seven-days-a-week experience, rather than a fifty-minute-a-day, three-days-a-week experience; students, the instructor, and peers and experts worldwide are always within reach.

Student work is submitted electronically through the class drop folders, and all work is critiqued with feedback being returned electronically to the student through e-mail. Figure 5 shows a sample e-mail critique. A standard template is used for each project that emphasizes the key objectives and criteria for the project. With online course materials, electronic submission of work, and e-mail critiques, no hardcopy or paper work exchanges hands in the fine arts courses (except for the class registration list and grade submission, which still use op-scan forms)!

Reducing the cost of instruction

So far, we have addressed the question of whether using networked technologies can improve the quality of student learning and increase active participation by students. Obviously, our answer is resounding “yes.” In the conclusion of this paper we address the question of whether we can show a reduced cost of learning per student as the result of the innovations we have discussed.

Notice that we state the question in terms of the cost of learning per student rather than in terms of the cost of instruction per student. In this distinction, we agree with Barr and Tagg when they say, “Under the Learning Paradigm, producing more with less becomes possible because the more that is being produced is learning and not hours of instruction. Productivity, in this sense, cannot even be measured in the Instruction Paradigm college. All that exists is a measure of exposure to instruction.”

This distinction is not the usual ploy of defining away the problem, but rather of defining the problem properly in the first place. If we take learning as the proper metric, then we have no choice but to adopt learning strategies that produce active, involved learners. The lecture-discussion, the primary means of producing instruction in American colleges and universities, does produce a lower cost of instruction than active learning strategies. But we have increasing evidence that the lecture-discussion is ineffective at producing learning. As stated by Guskin, “the primary learning environment for undergraduate students, the fairly passive lecture-discussion format where faculty talk and most students listen, is
contrary to almost every principle of optimal settings for student learning.17

Put more positively, there is increasing evidence that the model of what constitutes good practice in higher education is changing. Already there is ample evidence that the two most important determinants of learning are time-on-task and active learning strategies.18 As a consequence, asking whether active learning strategies produce instruction at lower cost than the lecture-discussion, asks the wrong question. Rather we should be asking whether the learning produced, by whatever strategy, can be produced more efficiently. Here is where technology enters the picture.

As we have shown, networked computing—and in particular meta-computing—can be used to adapt already proven active learning strategies and produce the same or increased learning less expensively. In terms of what? Primarily in terms of faculty time.

As faculty ponder whether to adopt active learning strategies, they rationally compare costs and benefits. From a faculty member’s perspective, the cost of the technological backbone is a sunk cost. The sunk nature of the backbone is illustrated in a Doonesbury cartoon.19 Mike Doonesbury engages a colleague in the following dialogue:

Mike: Hank, what’s a Web site?
Hank: It’s an Internet presence.
Mike: What’s on it?
Hank: It doesn’t matter. Build it and they will come.
Mike: Why do we need one?
Hank: Because the technology exists. Also, everyone else has one.
Mike: What’s my motivation?
Hank: Fear. Greed. Take your pick.

Universities have built it, but will faculty come? As we have emphasized, there are two issues here: first, persuading teachers to switch from an instruction to a learning paradigm, from the sage-on-the-stage to the guide-on-the-side, and second, giving them the necessary training to gain competence with networking and Internet technologies. As to the former issue, as the new model of good practice in higher education becomes more widely held, we believe that faculty members will seek out ways of incorporating these domains into their teaching. And they will do so using technology because, as we have shown, technology can be used to produce learning at lower variable cost in terms of faculty time.

As to the latter issue, the fine arts program at Illinois State University serves as a model. Several full-time staff provide ongoing training for various computer skills, including Internet applications, for faculty and students. However, the philosophy has always been a bottom-up approach. The best exemplars are those faculty who have learned to use technology, even in small ways, and can show examples of how these technologies were used in their classroom and curriculum. The fine arts program provides many opportunities for faculty to share what they are doing with each other. The other key ingredient is providing ample rewards for the significant extra time it takes to learn new territory. The College of Fine Arts provides stipends for technology development and for taking courses related to technology skills.

Perhaps Doonesbury is correct, and the only motivation to change is “fear and greed.” But we rather hope that the motivation lies in a deeply felt understanding that the raison d’être of a college or university is not to produce instruction but rather to produce learning, and that a technology-enhanced, active, collaborative approach to learning is an answer.

---

17 Alan Guskin, “Reducing Student Costs and Enhancing Student Learning, Part II: Restructuring the Role of the Faculty,” Change, September/October, 1994, 6.
19 Captions are from a United Press Syndicate Doonesbury cartoon by G. B. Trudeau (28 November, 1995).