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Discussion

I. Miscellaneous
   a. Talked about what’s on the CD versus the web site because someone asked if they were the same. There is more information on the CD than on the web site.
   b. Talked about tutorials (Directory Roadmap) and other seminars/workshops/CAMPs that could be useful.
      i. A CAMP on semantics for Group naming and MACE-URN namespaces.
   c. Quickly showed the web site and email slides
   d. Spoke briefly on how one can participate through case studies, documentation, providing code, etc.
   e. Briefly talked about an Authentication Roadmap for universities.
      i. Draft form in NMI 6 release
      ii. Comments to Ann West if you want to participate.
   f. Most people said that the presentation slides MUST be available ahead of time.

II. Feedback
   a. CD
      i. Who looked at the CD? Maybe 6 or 7.
      ii. Who thought is was useful? About 60% of the room.
      iii. Who will look at it? About 60% of the room.
   b. Balance
      i. Some people want more detailed technical descriptions.
         1. Case studies
         2. What kind of “shop” you have, the skill sets of your people, etc.
      ii. About 95% of the room wanted more case studies, management or technical.
      iii. About 70% of the room thought there was a good balance of technical and management tracks.
   c. Discussion Time
      i. Most people felt there was enough discussion time.
      ii. It was divided 50/50 in regards to whether there was enough discussion time or not.
      iii. Most people felt more presentation time was unnecessary.
   d. Were the topics satisfactory?
i. There was more Yes response than No. Only about 20% of the people in the room responded.

e. Were the topics covered in enough depth?
   i. Technical topics
      1. If one was unfamiliar with the topic then the presentation seemed to go too fast or too in depth.
      2. If one was familiar with the topic then the presentation seemed to go too slow or not have enough depth.
   ii. Management topics
      1. Some people spoke up and said that they don’t feel like they can go back and solve a problem.
      2. Maybe it would help to get a survey of what people know ahead of time.
      3. Some people wanted more topics dealing with project management.
      4. Someone suggested vendors be invited, but no selling.

f. Was the conference length satisfactory?
   i. Divided on whether or not it was a good length or too short, however nobody explicitly stated it was too long.
   ii. Some people thought it could be shorter, but most disagreed.