Index

Organizing and Managing Information Resources on Your Campus

Polley Ann McClure, Editor

A Publication of EDUCAUSE
Cabinet members, 21
California State University at Monterey Bay, 140
Campus Computing Survey, 132
Career ladder, 23–24
Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching, 1
Catholic Church, 2
CAUSE, 133
CAUSE Institutional Database survey, 132
Central information technology (IT) unit, 25
Central provisioning, 61
Centralization: versus decentralization, 31–32; and decision-making processes, 3, 20; degree of, 3–4; in policy development, 79–81
Centrally funded model, 50–52
Centrally planned financial model, 4
Chancellors, 17–18
Change: and business continuity, 125; and communication, 40; designing for, 38; effect of higher education structure on, 3; typical circumstances surrounding, 10
Chief academic officers, 17–18
Chief executive officers (CEOs), 24, 26
Chief information officers (CIOs): as cabinet members, 21; factors for success of, 26; in governance model, 24–25; overview of, 33; recruitment of, 24; responsibilities of, 33–35; role in incident management, 123; types of, 21
Choice, and fee-based services, 4
Collaboration: differences in, 40; in outsourcing decision making, 74–75; in transformative assessment, 140
Columbia University, 33–34
Committee for Institutional Cooperation, 66
Commodity services, outsourcing for, 64
Communication practices, 40
Community colleges, 16
Consensus, in IT management, 3
Constitutional privacy protections, 88
Continuity. See Business continuity
Contract staffing, 61
Contracts, overview of, 49–50
Cooper, J., 89
Copyright, 49
Cornell University, 5, 6, 7, 80, 83, 84
Cost management, 7
Cross-functional team, 22
Culture, 19
Culture, organizational. See Organizational culture
D
Data access and use policies, 84
Decentralized provisioning, 61
Decision-making processes: and centralization, 3, 20; defining roles in, 25; effect of funding on, 63; effect of IT integration on, 62–63; effect of trends on, 63–64; and formality of planning, 18–19; and funding sources and levels, 16–17; governing bodies in, 22–24; and institutional characteristics, 16; and leadership style of managers, 17–18; and organizational culture, 19–20; for outsourcing, 59–64, 65, 67–74; style of executive officers in, 20–21; success factors in, 26–27; and type of IT leadership, 21; and usage-based funding model, 53; variables influencing, 15–21
Denial-of-service attack, 95, 96
Distributed collegial approach, 20–21
Dolence, M. G., 18
Doyle, M. W., 63
DSpace interinstitutional ERP system, 66
Duke University, 54
Dziuban, C., 141, 143–144n.2

E
Education, on security issues, 106
Education and general budget, 132
EDUCAUSE, 100, 132, 139
Educom, 133
Efficiency, and funding, 47
Electronic management systems, 43
E-mail: growth in, 6; policies for, 87–88, 89; as vector for virus, 97
Emergency messaging programs, 87
Emergency preparedness, 117–125
Employer surveillance, 88–89
Encryption, 104, 105
Enterprise resource planning (ERP) systems, 62
Environment: effect of, on information technology, 2; as part of organizational structure, 30; and success of governance and decision making, 27
ERP. See Enterprise resource planning (ERP) systems
Executive officers: availability of, 36–37; and campus expectations, 131; decision-making style of, 20–21; responsibilities for security, 102; responsibilities in assessment, 139–140; responsibilities in business continuity, 125. See also specific executive officers
Expenses, 48–49, 54

F
Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA), 89
Federating, 61, 63
Fee-based services: advantages of, 53; and choice, 4; disadvantages of, 53–54; establishing charges early for, 57; examples of, 53; as funding source, 50, 52–54; model for, 52–54; networking as, 47
Financial architecture, 4–5
Firewalls, 104, 105, 108
Friedman, D., 141
Funding: benefits of long-term strategy for, 48; and billing expenses, 48–49; common mistakes in, 56–57; designing strategy for, 46–49; differences in views of, 40–41; effect of, on decision-making process, 63; effect of, on governance and decision making, 16–17; and efficiency, 47; equitable process of, 49; importance of predictability in, 17, 46; and innovation, 48; and IT standards, 47–48; levels of, 16–17; model development for, 57; models of, 4–5, 50–56; planning for, 57; sources of, 16–17, 48, 49–50; and standards, 47–48, 51. See also Budget
Gartner Group, 136, 137, 138
General operating funds, 49, 51
Georgetown University, 80
Gifts, 49–50
Goals: and coherence of organizational structure, 37–38; and funding model, 46–47, 51, 53; source of, 3
Governance: bodies of, 22–24; and decision-making style of leaders, 20–21; elements of, 22–24; and formality of planning, 18–19; and funding sources and levels, 16–17; and institutional characteristics, 16; and leadership style of managers, 17–18; misunderstanding between management and, 15; model for, 24–26; and organizational culture, 19–20; success factors in, 26–27; and type of IT leadership, 21; variables influencing, 15–21
Grants: for long-term projects, 56; overview of, 49–50
Graves, W. H., 59, 82, 142
Green, K. C., 21, 32, 33, 132
Grey, M., 136, 138

H
Hagel, J., 62
Hamilton College, 51
Harassment, 90
Harris, K., 136, 138
Hartman, J., 142
Hastings, C., 38
Hawkins, B., 1, 12, 62, 68, 129
Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), 95
HEIRAlliance, 133
Help desk, 25–26
Higher education: autonomy of subunits in, 3; challenges of, 12; characteristics that affect governance of, 16; dualism in structure of, 31–32; environment in, 2; financial architecture of, 4–5; goals of, 3; persistence of, institutions, 2; research-intensive versus liberal arts, 16; size of, institutions, 16; structure of, 2–4
High-speed data networking, 6
Hitt, J., 142
Hodges, M., 82

I
Implementation, 56–57
Incident management, 121–124
Indiana University, 34–35, 84, 85–86
Information technology (IT): adoption of, 39; alignment of governing groups in, 23–24; convergence of elements of, 7–8; dualism in, 31–32; elements of, 9–10; function of, 32; growth of, 5–7; history of, 5; importance of, 113; revolution, 1
Information technology (IT) management: challenges in, 114–115; consensus in, 3; effective practices in, 114–115; emergency preparedness in, 117–125; function of, 10, 12; as gardening, 12; incident management in, 121–124; and institutional characteristics, 16; level of investment in, 126–127; misunderstanding between governance and, 15; processes in, 10, 11; risk awareness in, 115–117
Information technology (IT) manager: leadership style of, 17–18; of past versus present, 8; soft skills of, 8; and structural change, 38
Information technology (IT) support: evolution of, 8–9; structure of, 35–36
Infrastructure: assessment of, 135–138; implementation of security in, 104–105; outsourcing for, 64, 66
Input measures, 131–133
Insourcing, 61, 63
Instructional technology, 9
Intellectual property: challenges in management of, 114–115; and outsourcing, 65
Internet service providers, 49
Internet2, 100, 139
IT. See Information technology (IT)

J
Jenkins, C. G., 82
Jensen, R. W., 63
Johnson, R., 11

K
Kaludis, G., 68
Katzenbach, J. R., 18
Kerr, C., 2
King, K., 1
Knox, M., 136, 137

L
Lacity, M. C., 74
Latimer, D., 17, 33
Laws, privacy, 88–90
Leach, K., 132
Leadership style, 17–18, 40
Learning outcomes, 138, 141
Liberal arts colleges, 16
Libraries: assessment in, 41; and definition of IT responsibilities, 35; future trends in, 43
Library model. See Centrally funded model
Local area network (LAN), 99–100
Lockard, T. W., 36
Lujan, H. D., 18
Lutheran Church, 2

M
Mallette, B., 54
Malware, 85
Management. See Information technology (IT) management
Manager. See Information technology (IT) manager
Mass electronic messaging, 87–88
Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT), 81
McClure, P. A., 36, 62, 77
McCord, A., 11, 68
McCredie, J., 11, 45, 69
McDonald, S. J., 88, 90
McMillan, M., 11, 72
Microsourcing, 61
Middleware, 93–94, 95, 100
Miller, F., 36
Mitnick, K., 108
Mitro, T., 11, 77, 81, 90
Modem pool, 52
Modem speed, 6
Moskal, P., 141, 143–144n.2

N
Neal, J., 10–11
Network administrators, 86
Networking: as fee-based service, 47; future of, 42; growth in, 6, 42; mixed model for, 55; policies for, 82–83, 89–90; and security, 98–100; in tax-based model, 54
New Business Architecture Planning Group, 137
New York University, 115–116, 122–123

O
On-line publishing, 42
Organization theory, 30
Organizational culture: and decision making, 19–20; differences in, 39; effect of leaders’ decision-making style on, 20; and governance, 19–20; importance of, 19–20; and security, 101
Organizational structure: availability of IT personnel in, 36–37; coherence in, 37–38; designing for change in, 38; dualism in, 31–32; environment in, 30; examples of, 33–35; future of, 42–43; influences on, 39–42; integration of subunits in, 39–42; of IT support, 35–36; models of, 31–32; overview of, 2–4; parameters of, 30; principles for, 32–38, 43; purpose of, 29–30
Outages, 118
Outsourcing: all-or-nothing view of, 74; barriers to, 70–71; budget for, 70–71; business models for, 68–69; contingency plan for, 69; debates in, 60; decision making for, 59–64, 65, 67–74; factors driving increase in, 59; good versus poor candidates for, 64–67; history of, 60, 62; and intellectual property, 65; models of, 60–61; multiple providers for, 66; negotiating perspectives in, 69–70; planning for, 72; providers’ responsibilities in, 69; selection of provider for, 68; supporting provider in, 71–73; transitions in, 72–73; types of, 61
Overhead, 54

P
Parker, A. S., 82
Passwords, 86, 106
Pennsylvania State University, The, 34
Penrod, J., 10, 15, 26, 74
Personal computers, 5
Pinkerton, T., 82

Planning: for business continuity, 116–117, 119–120; differences in strategies of, 40; formality of, 18–19; for funding, 57; in governance model, 26; for outsourcing, 72

Policies: acceptable-use, 81–83, 90–91; boundaries of, 78–79; for data access and use, 84; for e-mail, 87–88, 89; enforcement of, 104; history of, 81–83; importance of, 77; for mass electronic messaging, 87–88; need for, 78, 79; for networking, 82–83, 89–90; overview of, 77; privacy, 88–90; relationship of, to policy development, 82–83; revision of, 91; role of, 78–79; for security, 85–87, 102–103, 104

Policy council, 23

Policy development: cautions in, 78; centralization in, 79–81; current trends in, 83–91; decentralization in, 80–81; effects of experience on, 82–83; future of, 42; in governance model, 25; legalities of, 82; models of, 79–81; principles for, 82; relationship of, to policies, 82–83; role of advisory committee in, 23

Policy office, 103

Pornography, 78–79

Poulsen, M., 81

Presidents: administration models of, 17–18; decision-making styles of, 20

Privacy laws, 89–90

Privacy policies, 88–90

Private institutions, 16

Probes, system, 108–109

Professional consulting, 61

Project implementation, 61

Provosts: administration models of, 17–18; decision-making styles of, 20

Publishing, on-line, 42

Purchasing: in governance model, 25; and standards, 47

R

READY tool, 139, 143n.1

Rebadged staffing, 61

Remote hosting, 61

Research-intensive institution, 16

Resistance, to change, 3

Responsibilities: centrally focused, 32–35; of chief information officer, 33–35; of executives, in assessment, 139–140; of executives, in continuity, 123, 124–125; importance of defining, 24, 35; of IT staff, in incident management, 123–124; of outsourcing providers, 69; for security, 102; of systems administrator, 86

Responsibility-centered financial model, 4

Rezmierski, V., 82

Rhodes, F., 129

Risks: assessment of, 118–119; awareness of, 115–117

Rowley, D. J., 18

Rozwell, C., 136, 138

S

Sale-leaseback of assets, 61

Satisfaction surveys, 130–131

Savage, C. M., 38

Schein, E. H., 19

Schlier, F., 17

Security: basic practices in, 106; best practices in, 109, 110; challenges in, 95–96; and decentralization of information technology, 98–100; focusing on prevention in, 107–108; future of, 42; identifying critical systems for, 107; implementation in infrastructure, 104–105; importance of, 93, 94, 95; institution-wide approach to, 103–104; interdependence of users in regard to, 97–98; and LAN management, 99–100; need for, 93, 94; and networking, 98–100; and organizational culture, 101; policies for,
Index 153

85–87, 102–103, 104; principles for, 100–109, 110; proactive detection in, 108–109; responsibilities for, 102; threats to, 94–97; weakest link in, 98. See also Viruses

Security team, 86–87

Self-assessment, in higher education, 133–134

September 11, 2001, terrorist attack, 115–116

Services management, 61

Shirley, R. C., 18

Sitko, T., 11, 72

Smallen, D., 11, 45, 132

Smith, J. W., 36

Soft skills, 8

Spam, 87–88

Special interest groups, 22–23

Spinello, R., 90

Stager, S., 82

Standards: and funding, 47–48, 51; and purchasing, 47

Stine, G., 68

Structure. See Organizational structure

Student Learning Objectives project, 141

Supply and demand issues in funding, 52

Systems administrators, 86

Trust, in organization, 18–19

Twain, M., 75

U

Uniformity of services, 51

University of California, Berkeley, 55

University of California System, 137

University of Central Florida, 142

University of Kansas, 81

University of Maryland, 140

University of Michigan, 81

University of Minnesota, 80

University of Missouri, 140

University of Texas at Austin, 132

University of Washington, 141

Usage-based model, 52–54

User’s Guide to the Cornell MAIL System, A (Cornell University), 6

V

Value of investment, 137–138

Vernon, R. D., 11, 81

Vice presidents, 17–18

Viruses: and increase in security policies, 85; methods of, 96–97. See also Security

W

Willcocks, L. P., 74

Worona, S., 82

Y

Young, J., 89

Z

Zastrocky, M. R., 17